

A quality framework for support services (not care at home)

For use in self-evaluation, scrutiny and improvement support

April 2023



Changes to our inspection

Since 1 April 2018, the Health and Social Care Standards have been used across Scotland. They were developed by Scottish Government to describe what people should experience from a wide range of care and support services. They are relevant not just for individual care services, but across local partnerships. The Care Inspectorate's expectation is that they will be used in planning, commissioning, assessment and in delivering care and support.

We use these standards to inform the decisions we make about quality of care and support, and since their introduction we have been developing new approaches to scrutiny. This has been to ensure our inspections and other scrutiny work are strongly focused on assessing the extent to which people experience wellbeing, and on understanding the difference care and support makes to their lives.

Our approaches to scrutiny ensure there is an emphasis on experiences and outcomes; proportionate approaches in services that perform well; shorter inspection reports; and a focus on supporting improvement in quality. The core of this approach is a quality framework that sets out the elements that will help us answer key questions about the difference care is making to people and the quality and effectiveness of the things that contribute to those differences.

The primary purpose of a quality framework is to support services to evaluate their own performance. The same framework is then used by inspectors to provide independent assurance about the quality of care and support. By setting out what we expect to see in a high-quality service, we can also help support improvement. Using a framework in this way develops a shared understanding of what constitutes good care and support.

The quality framework also supports openness and transparency in the inspection process. In developing it, we have involved both people who experience or have experienced care and those who provide care and support. It is based on the approach used by the European Foundation for Quality Management (EQFM), specifically the EFQM Excellence Model, which is a quality tool widely used across sectors and countries. We have adapted the model for use in care settings and have used the Health and Social Care Standards to illustrate the quality we expect to see. Our frameworks are tested and evaluated to hear the views of people experiencing care, their carers and care providers. They are also kept under review to ensure they reflect any changes in the wider social care landscape. All of this helps us refine our frameworks and the way we use them.

How is the framework structured?

The quality framework is framed around six key questions (see the table on page 8 of this document). The first of these is:

• How well do we support people's wellbeing?

To try and understand what contributes to wellbeing, there are four further key questions:

- How good is our leadership?
- How good is our staff team?
- How good is our setting?
- How well is care and support planned?

Under each key question, there is a small number of quality indicators. These have been developed to help answer the key questions. Each quality indicator has a small number of key areas, short bullet points that make clear the areas of practice covered.

Under each quality indicator, we have provided quality illustrations of these key areas at two levels on the six-point scale used in inspections. The illustrations are the link to the Health and Social Care Standards and are drawn from the expectations set out in the Standards. They describe what we might expect to see in a care service that is operating at a 'very good' level of quality, and what we might see in a service that is operating at a 'weak' level of quality. These illustrations are not a definitive description of care and support provision but are designed to help care services and inspectors evaluate the quality indicators, using the framework.

The final key question is:

• What is our overall capacity for improvement?

This requires a global judgement based on evidence and evaluations from all other key areas. The judgement is a forward-looking assessment, but also takes account of contextual factors that might influence an organisation's capacity to improve the quality of the service in the future. Such factors might include changes of senior staff, plans to restructure, or significant changes in funding. We think this is an important question to ask as part of self-evaluation.

In each quality indicator, we have included a scrutiny and improvement toolbox. This includes examples of the scrutiny actions that we may use in evaluating the quality of provision. It also contains links to key practice documents that we think will help care services in their own improvement journey.

How will this quality framework be used on inspections?

Inspectors will look at a selection of the quality indicators. Which and how many quality indicators will depend on the type of inspection, the quality of the service, the intelligence we hold about the service, and risk factors that we may identify, but it is likely that we will always

inspect quality indicators 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 as well as 5.1. We will use the quality illustrations, which are based on the Health and Social Care Standards, in our professional evaluations about the care and support we see.

One of the quality indicators, 1.4, looks beyond the practice of an individual care service and introduces elements about the impact of planning, assessment and commissioning on people experiencing care. This is important because these practices impact on people's experiences and the extent to which they experience wellbeing. This quality indicator may help us during an inspection to find information or intelligence that is relevant to practices in commissioning partnerships, but our overall inspection evaluations (grades) will reflect the impact and practice of the care service itself.

We will provide an overall evaluation for each of the key questions we inspect, using the six point scale from unsatisfactory (1) to excellent (6). This will be derived from the specific quality indicators that we inspect. Where we inspect one quality indicator per key question, the evaluation for that quality indicator will be the evaluation for the key question. Where we inspect more than one quality indicator per key question, the overall evaluation for the key question will be the lower of the quality indicators for that specific key question, recognising that there is a key element of practice that makes the overall key question no better than this evaluation.

In addition to the evaluating key questions, there are some things we will always look at on our inspections. This is because we know that these key areas are essential to a service being safe. We call these 'core assurances' and further information about these are set out on page .

How will we use the six-point scale?

The six-point scale is used when evaluating the quality of performance across quality indicators.

6	Excellent	Outstanding or sector leading
5	Very Good	Major strengths
4	Good	Important strengths, with some areas for improvement
3	Adequate	Strengths just outweigh weaknesses
2	Weak	Important weaknesses – priority action required
1	Unsatisfactory	Major weaknesses – urgent remedial action required

An evaluation of **excellent** describes performance which is sector leading and supports experiences and outcomes for people which are of outstandingly high quality. There is a demonstrable track record of innovative, effective practice and/or very high quality

performance across a wide range of its activities and from which others could learn. We can be confident that excellent performance is sustainable and that it will be maintained.

An evaluation of **very good** will apply to performance that demonstrates major strengths in supporting positive outcomes for people. There are very few areas for improvement. Those that do exist will have minimal adverse impact on people's experiences and outcomes. While opportunities are taken to strive for excellence within a culture of continuous improvement, performance evaluated as very good does not require significant adjustment.

An evaluation of **good** applies to performance where there is a number of important strengths which, taken together, clearly outweigh areas for improvement. The strengths will have a significant positive impact on people's experiences and outcomes. However improvements are required to maximise wellbeing and ensure that people consistently have experiences and outcomes which are as positive as possible.

An evaluation of **adequate** applies where there are some strengths but these just outweigh weaknesses. Strengths may still have a positive impact but the likelihood of achieving positive experiences and outcomes for people is reduced significantly because key areas of performance need to improve. Performance which is evaluated as adequate may be tolerable in particular circumstances, such as where a service or partnership is not yet fully established, or in the midst of major transition. However, continued performance at adequate level is not acceptable. Improvements must be made by building on strengths while addressing those elements that are not contributing to positive experiences and outcomes for people.

An evaluation of **weak** will apply to performance in which strengths can be identified but these are outweighed or compromised by significant weaknesses. The weaknesses, either individually or when added together, substantially affect peoples' experiences or outcomes. Without improvement as a matter of priority, the welfare or safety of people may be compromised, or their critical needs not met. Weak performance requires action in the form of structured and planned improvement by the provider or partnership with a mechanism to demonstrate clearly that sustainable improvements have been made.

An evaluation of **unsatisfactory** will apply when there are major weaknesses in critical aspects of performance which require immediate remedial action to improve experiences and outcomes for people. It is likely that people's welfare or safety will be compromised by risks which cannot be tolerated. Those accountable for carrying out the necessary actions for improvement must do so as a matter of urgency, to ensure that people are protected and their wellbeing improves without delay.

How can this quality framework be used by care services?

The framework is primarily designed to support care services in self-evaluation. Selfevaluation is a core part of assuring quality and supporting improvement. The process of self-evaluation, as part of a wider quality assurance approach, requires a cycle of activity based round answering three questions:

• How are we doing?

This is the key to knowing whether you are doing the right things and that, as a result, people are experiencing high quality, safe and compassionate care and support that meets their needs, rights and choices.

• How do we know?

Answering the question 'how we are doing' must be done based on robust evidence. The quality indicators in this document, along with the views of people experiencing care and support and their carers, can help you to evaluate how you are doing. You should also take into account performance data collected nationally or by your service.

• What are we going to do now?

Understanding how well your service is performing should help you see what is working well and what needs to be improved. From that, you should be able to develop and prioritise plans for improvement based on effective practice, guidance, research, testing, and available improvement support. You can find out more about the Model for Improvement and tools to support your improvements on the Care Inspectorate's Hub.

Using this quality framework can help provide an effective structure around self-evaluation. To help you with this, we have also developed a self-evaluation tool with guidance that you can use alongside this framework to support improvement. You will find the tool and guidance on our website <u>here</u>.

The diagram below summarises the approach:



Irrespective of our role as the national scrutiny and improvement body, care providers will want to satisfy themselves, their stakeholders, funders, boards and committees that they are providing high quality services. We believe this quality framework is a helpful way of supporting care and support services to assess their performance against our expectations of outcomes for people, outwith an inspection and as part your own quality assurance. We are promoting this approach as we believe it adds value and we consider it important that care and support providers do not take actions merely to satisfy the inspection process.

The quality indicator framework

Key question 1: How well do we support people's wellbeing?	Key question 2: How good is our leadership?	Key question 3: How good is our staff team?	Key question 4: How good is our setting?	Key question 5: How well is our care planned?
1.1. People experience compassion, dignity and respect	2.1. Vision and values positively inform practice	3.1. Staff have been recruited well	4.1. People benefit from high-quality facilities	5.1. Assessment and personal planning reflects people's outcomes and wishes
1.2. People get the most out of life	2.2. Quality assurance and improvement is led well	3.2. Staff have the right knowledge, competence and development to care for and support people	4.2. The setting promotes people's independence and inclusion	5.2. Carers, friends and family members are encouraged to be involved
1.3. People's health benefits from their care and support	2.3. Leaders collaborate to support people	3.3. Staffing arrangements are right and staff work well together		
1.4. People are getting the right service for them	2.4. Staff are led well			
1.5. People's health and wellbeing benefits from safe infection prevention and control practice and procedure				

Key question 6: What is the overall capacity for improvement?

This registration category covers a wide variety of service types providing a range of different supports, including support provided to children and young people. This framework covers outcomes for people across the whole range of registered support services that are not care at home. Care at home and housing support services will be covered by their own quality framework.

In order to identify outcomes that are relevant to the service, you should consider the aims and objectives of the service when looking at the quality illustrations and evaluating it using the quality indicators and key questions.

The term 'people' has been used throughout this document to include children and young people as well as adults.

Core assurances – Support services (not care at home)

Experience has taught us that when things go wrong in care services, they often relate to key areas. Theory and inquiries into when care goes wrong has highlighted the areas that are important to monitor because these can be identified as early indicators of concern to people using services (Scottish Government 2014, Hull University 2012, Francis Report 2013, Wardhaugh and Wilding 1993). These are the key areas considered during the registration process, and policies and procedures relating to them must be in place before a service is registered. Because we know, and research tells us, that these key areas are essential to a service being safe, we have called them 'core assurances'.

This checklist of core assurances highlights what inspectors must look at on inspection. They help guide providers on the areas that are important to people's safety and wellbeing.

The core assurances span the entire framework, covering elements of several different quality indicators. If we have any concerns arising from our assessment of a particular core assurance, we may decide to focus in on a specific quality indicator. For example, the core assurance about infection prevention and control does not necessarily mean that we are evaluating all of quality indicator 1.5, but if we identify concerns, we will look at this quality indicator in more detail. In making our evaluations we will always speak to people who live in the service, families, staff, visiting professionals and relevant stakeholders.

General

A registration certificate is on display and contains accurate information that reflects the service currently being delivered.

A valid insurance certificate is on display (except local authority services).

There is a written statement of the aims and objectives that accurately describes the conditions of registration and the service that is offered to people.

Protection

1						
l	_	_	_	_	_	

This relates to both adult and child protection reflecting who the service is supporting.



There is a child/adult protection policy and procedure that evidences how people are kept safe.



Staff are trained in child/adult protection and are confident in knowing when and how to make referrals, including notifying the Care Inspectorate.

10 A quality framework for support services (not care at home)

	Where required, there is evidence that appropriate child/adult protection referrals have been made and followed up.
Infe	ction prevention and control
	All staff are trained in and can demonstrate they understand and apply the principles of infection prevention and control, in line with their role.
	There is a nominated lead person who has responsibility for infection prevention and control.
	The service has governance and quality assurance processes in place for infection prevention and control.
	Leaders ensure that staff have access to suitable equipment and appropriate cleaning products.
	A robust risk assessment is undertaken and approved through local governance when this cannot be implemented.
Med	ication system and records
	People are protected by safe medication management policies and practices.
	Legislation and good practice guidance are followed when supporting people to take medication who do not have capacity, where medication is given covertly and when 'as required' medication is prescribed.
	Where there are medication errors, services make appropriate notifications and learn from these to improve medication practice.
Man	agement of people's finances
	People's personal property and finances are managed and protected in line with legislation.
	Clear financial policies and procedures for the management of people's money and possessions are documented and evidenced in practice.
	Where decisions are being made on behalf of an adult who lacks capacity, legislation principles and good practice guidance are followed.

Accident/incident records

A record of all accidents and incidents occurring in the service is maintained and, where required, notified to the Care Inspectorate and/or the appropriate agency/ authority. There are quality assurance processes around accident and incidents and evidence of learning from these.

Development/improvement plan

There is an up-to-date development/improvement plan in place that is informed by feedback from staff and people who use the service, and/or their relatives and representatives. This plan is actively used to drive improvement in the service.

Complaints



The complaints and concerns of each person, their family, advocate or representative, and visitors are listened to and acted upon and there is an effective appeals procedure.



People are made aware promptly of the outcome of any complaints and there are processes in place to implement learning from complaints.

A record is made of all complaints, responses and outcomes and details of any formal investigations undertaken.

The complaints process is user-friendly and accessible.

Staff recruitment procedures



Safe and effective recruitment practices are in place to recruit staff in accordance with good practice and national safer recruitment guidance.

The physical environment



The service is clean, tidy, welcoming and free from avoidable and intrusive noise and smells.



The layout of the setting and quality of the furnishings and fixtures meets people's needs and outcomes.



Maintenance records for safety equipment.

People have access to appropriate equipment, including single use equipment, which promotes their independence and comfort. Where equipment is not single use, this is cleaned between uses and stored securely.

Equipment is fit for purpose and there is a process for ensuring that all equipment is properly installed, used, maintained, tested, serviced and replaced.

Staff are trained to use equipment.

The setting has relevant safety certificates, including gas and water checks, and others as appropriate.

Testing and maintenance of fire safety equipment and systems takes place, and a fire risk assessment is in place. Staff and people using the service know what to do in the event of a fire, including information on those who need support to evacuate and how to do this safely.

Planned care and support

The personal plan is based on an ongoing comprehensive assessment of individual's needs, strengths and is outcomes-focussed. It is implemented, evaluated and reviewed, reflects the person's changing needs and outlines the support required to maximise their quality of life in accordance with their wishes.

People are actively involved in their personal planning process and care is observed to be person centred and delivered in accordance with each person's individual plan.

Personal plans are accessible to people and the staff providing their care and support, ensuring their needs and wishes are met.

Management oversight and governance

There are governance and oversight systems in place to identify risks and ensure appropriate action is taken to improve outcomes for people. These include leaders' behaviours which create the right environment for safe quality care.

Key question 1: How well do we support people's wellbeing?

This key question has five quality indicators associated with it.

They are:

- 1.1. People experience compassion, dignity and respect
- 1.2. People get the most out of life
- 1.3. People's health and wellbeing benefits from their care and support
- 1.4. People are getting the right service for them
- 1.5. People's health and wellbeing benefits from safe infection prevention and control practice and procedures.

Quality indicator 1.1: People experience compassion, dignity and respect

Key areas include the extent to which people experience:

- compassion
- dignity and respect for their rights as an individual
- help to uphold their rights as a citizen free from discrimination.

Quality illustrations		
Very good	Weak	
People experience care and support with	People's views and preferences are not	
compassion because there are warm,	actively sought when planning and	
encouraging, positive relationships	delivering care and support. People's views	
between staff and people making use of	and preferences are not reflected in daily	
the service, which helps people to achieve	practice. Care and support is delivered	
their individual outcomes.	around routines and tasks with little regard	
People feel respected and listened to	for individual needs and wishes.	
because their wishes and preferences are	The rights of people in making choices	
used to shape how they are supported,	and maintaining their independence, for	
including if they wish to decline an aspect	example, freedom of movement, are not	
of their support. People experience support	promoted and a risk averse approach is	
that promotes their identity, independence,	prevalent.	
dignity, privacy and choice. They feel connected within communities. They are enabled to maintain and develop relationships with the people around them, which gives them a sense of belonging.	Staff interact with people in ways that are impersonal or abrupt. People feel isolated or excluded from their communities and others.	

People's rights are respected. They are treated fairly and staff actively challenge any form of discrimination. Where people's independence, choice and control are restricted, they are well informed about this and legal arrangements and appropriate supports are in place. Restrictions are kept to a minimum and carried out sensitively. Where some people's behaviour is seen as disruptive to others as a result of cognitive impairment or other condition, staff provide sensitive support to reduce the impact on other people. People's wellbeing and sense of worth is enhanced by staff who are knowledgeable about and value diversity.	There is a limited range of opportunities for everyone to be involved in decisions about the service. Where views are gathered, people still feel they are not listened to and there is little evidence to show how their views have been taken into account. Restrictions placed on people's choice or independence are not designed to benefit the individual, or are not linked to risk.
People are well informed about their citizenship rights, including voting. They are actively supported to understand and exercise these rights. Staff demonstrate the principles of the Health and Social Care Standards in their day-to-day practice. People are involved in decisions about the service in ways that are meaningful to them. People feel empowered because their voice is heard, including opportunities to use independent advocacy.	Staff are unclear about the purpose of obtaining consent, or do not actively seek consent from people or their representatives. Staff do not know about the Health and Social Care Standards, or they are not clear about how the principles should inform their practice. People may experience stigma, or feel as though they are judged or not valued because of their circumstances.

Scrutiny improvement and support toolbox

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people using the service
- staff practices
- communication and interactions.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- visiting professionals
- staff.

Sampling of:

- policies, procedures and practice including restriction of freedom
- reviews, meeting minutes, action plans and evidence change in practice
- Duty of Candour records.

- the information the service provides about any limitations or restrictions on choice as a result of using the service in admission or welcome documents
- how communication support tools are used to gather people's views and decision-making
- how policies, procedures and practice ensure that people are not subject to discrimination based on protected characteristics, including disability, gender, age, sexuality
- advocacy links and support for people and if advocates are available
- review how the confidentiality policy, procedure and practice is managed, such as whether all information is held confidentially and maintained by staff, including during discussions.

Quality indicator 1.2: People get the most out of life

Key areas include the extent to which people:

- make decisions and choices about how they spend their time
- are supported to achieve their wishes and aspirations
- feel safe and are protected but have the opportunity to take informed risks.

Quality illustrations			
Very good	Weak		
Very good People are recognised as experts on their own experiences, needs and wishes. This means they are fully involved in decisions about their care and support that affect them. People can choose how they spend their time and benefit from maintaining and developing their interests and what matters to them. People are supported to build their aspirations and confidence to have a strong sense of their own identity and wellbeing. Staff use their knowledge of the impact of people's health condition or diagnosis when supporting people with this. In a group setting, staff are proactive and use their skills to sustain the involvement of everyone, ensuring both individual and group outcomes are met.	 Weak People experience care and support at a basic level, focused on tasks and routines, that does not treat people as individuals entitled to personalised care. The quality of people's experience is negatively affected because staff do not know the person or use their personal plan to enhance both the care provided and their social interactions. There is a lack of recognition of people's interests, culture or past life, including sexuality, spirituality or important relationships, with little acknowledgement of the importance of this for each person. Where specific programmes are offered as part of people's support, sessions are regularly cancelled due to poor planning or because the necessary trained staff are not available. 		
In a group setting, staff are proactive and use their skills to sustain the involvement of everyone, ensuring both individual and	as part of people's support, sessions are regularly cancelled due to poor planning o because the necessary trained staff are not		

People are enabled to get the most out of life with options to maintain, develop and explore their interests and skills, which may include education and accredited learning, employment and leisure. People are able to explore opportunities to connect with their communities in creative and imaginative ways.	The service does not provide appropriate structure or stimulation to enable people to have a sense of purpose and direction. Opportunities for meaningful activity are sparse. Choices are limited and people's aspirations are restricted by assumptions of what is safe or possible.
Social bonds are strengthened because people are supported to build and maintain meaningful relationships with others.	Staff show an ambivalent attitude to supporting people to become involved in their community.
Contributions and achievements are recognised by others, which has a positive impact on people's confidence and self- esteem.	People who communicate in different ways are disadvantaged because staff lack the skills and/or resources to respond appropriately.
	People's confidence suffers because they have limited chances to be socially active or are not given the support they need to participate. They have low expectations for themselves and their aspirations and achievements are not encouraged.

People feel safe and staff demonstrate a clear understanding of their responsibilities to protect people from harm, neglect, abuse, bullying and exploitation. Measures are in place to prevent this happening and people are confident that if they identify concerns, the open and supportive culture within the service ensures that they are responded to appropriately. People are enabled to develop an understanding of risk. Their right to make choices and take informed personal risk is part of the language and culture of the service. People have confidence that staff have the skills and understanding to support them to exercise these rights where appropriate, enabling ambitious and aspirational choices.	People may not be safe, or may not feel safe and staff are unclear of their role in identifying and reporting concerns about the safety and wellbeing of people. Appropriate assessments, supports and referrals may not be made. Harm may be ignored or not identified. Staff may participate in or accept poor practice without considering the impact on people's emotional wellbeing and dignity. The culture makes it hard to report poor practice, which may lead to people being at risk of unsafe care and support.
People regularly have fun and are able to get involved in a wide range of activities and interests. They have regular opportunities that promote their creativity, including through the arts. People are enabled to develop a sense of fairness and learn to cooperate with others.	People have limited opportunity to get involved in activities and lack purpose and direction as a result. New experiences are rare, and people don't get the encouragement and support they need to be active.

Scrutiny improvement and support toolbox

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people using the service
- staff practices
- communication and interactions.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- visiting professionals
- staff.

Sampling of:

- meeting minutes and action plans for people, relatives and staff
- activity planners for both individual and group/communal activities
- the adult protection procedure, training, knowledge and referrals made.

- how people spend their time and any policies or records which relate to this.
- how people are supported to be involved in the community and engage in activities/hobbies that they enjoy.
- how care and support plans are informing care and evidence change.
- adult and child protection procedures, training, knowledge, and referrals made.
- how the service implements national guidance and best practice in child protection, including child sexual exploitation.

Quality indicator 1.3: People's health benefits from their care and support

Key areas include the extent to which people experience:

- care and support based on relevant evidence, guidance, best practice and standards
- the right healthcare from the right person at the right time
- food and drink that meets their needs and wishes.

Quality illustrations		
Very good	Weak	
Staff in the service understand their role in	Staff working in the service may lack	
supporting people's access to healthcare	understanding about supporting people's	
and addressing health inequalities, even	physical and emotional wellbeing, so	
where the role of the service in this is	opportunities to intervene and improve	
minor. This includes ensuring that relevant	people's health are missed. People's	
information is shared with the right people.	wellbeing may be compromised because	
People are fully involved in making	they are not supported to obtain	
decisions about their physical and	appropriate health assessments.	
emotional wellbeing through their personal	The support that people receive, and how	
plans, including long-term and life-	they spend their time has limited links to	
limiting conditions. Staff employ creative	health promotion, recovery and/or harm	
approaches to promoting and supporting	reduction.	
people's choices.		
	There is limited access to equipment and	
People have control of their own health	technology and its use is often focused	
and wellbeing by using any necessary	on assisting staff rather than on enabling	
technology and other specialist equipment.	people to have more control over their life.	
People are enabled to make informed	Staff in the service do not fully understand	
health and lifestyle choices that contribute	their contribution to helping reduce health	
to positive physical and mental health.	inequality.	
, , , , ,		

People have as much control as possible over their medication and benefit from a robust medication management system that adheres to good practice guidance.

People benefit from support to access community healthcare and treatment from competent trained practitioners, including prevention and early detection interventions. People are well informed about their treatment or intervention because information about treatment options, rehabilitation programmes or interventions is available in a format that is right for them. This helps to ensure that people experience treatments or interventions that are safe and effective.

People experience a range of opportunities that contribute to health education, including sexual wellbeing and sleep health.

People's wellbeing is supported because the service promotes a healthy attitude to food and drink. If meals are provided as part of the service, people are able to enjoy healthy meals or snacks and drinks that reflect their cultural and dietary needs and preferences, including fresh fruit and vegetables.

People can enjoy their food in an unhurried, relaxed atmosphere. People benefit from a wide range of aids and have the required support to enjoy their meals. People may not always receive the right medication or treatment at the right time, with the potential to affect their physical and emotional wellbeing. The use of 'as required' medication may not be clearly laid out or in line with good practice guidance.

Where people's medication needs to be given covertly, or the person does not have capacity to consent, the relevant legal powers, consent and processes are not in place.

Support to enable people to access appropriate healthcare in their community may be limited. Even where there is access to healthcare professionals, people's healthcare needs are not consistently followed through. This may result in people experiencing reactive or disjointed care and support, which could impact on their physical and emotional health.

People only access physical, mental or sexual health education in response to specific issues, rather than as part of the service's ethos of health promotion.

Options for meals, snacks and drinks do not always reflect people's cultural and dietary needs. People often do not enjoy their meals and do not always receive the right support to help them eat the best diet for them.

There are limited methods used to help people make choices at mealtimes resulting in others often making the choices for them. Staff may control access to food and drink without professional rationale, and as a result people may not be able to eat or drink when they want or need to.

Scrutiny improvement and support toolbox

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people using the service
- staff practices
- communication and interactions
- medication processes (where applicable).

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- other professionals who provide support to the service or individuals
- staff.

Sampling of:

- assessment tools used for people to identify / monitor health needs
- personal plans and risk assessments relating to health and wellbeing
- medication administration records including protocols for administration of 'as required' medication.

- mental health supports do staff know which aspects of their support is covered by compulsory measures under the Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003 (MHCTA) and what their responsibilities are, including under the principles of the Act? Where people are subject to current MHCTA powers, is there a copy of the order and the Responsible Medical Officer's care plan?
- where people lack capacity to make their own decisions, is care and support provided in line with the principles of the Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000.
- how people are supported to identify and monitor their health needs.
- how personal plans are used to promote people's health and wellbeing, including specific plans to support people with for example, epilepsy, harm reduction or behaviour support plans.

Quality indicator 1.4: People are getting the right service for them

Key areas include the extent to which people:

- are fully involved in the professional assessment of their holistic needs
- can choose the care and support they need and want
- experience high quality care and support as result of planning, commissioning and contracting arrangements that work well.

Quality ill	lustrations
Very good	Weak
The care and support that people are experiencing is right for them and based on their outcomes, rights and choices. People are involved in a comprehensive assessment of their needs in a meaningful way and this has informed the care and support they experience. Where relevant, the assessment involves other people, families, friends and professionals to help shape the decision about the suitability of the service. People and professionals are involved in reviewing the assessment. Staff working in the service understand their role and contribution to ensuring the assessment is comprehensive, even where their role is minor.	People have limited or no involvement in their assessment and review processes. There may be limited involvement of other relevant people, including professionals to help shape the decision about the suitability of the service. The assessment process does not fully capture people's current outcomes or take account of their future needs and preferences.
People have been able to choose the care and support they want, based on their assessed needs and outcomes.	The commissioned service that people are experiencing does not meet their needs, rights or choices.
People are involved in planned reviews of their support to determine whether the care and support meets their outcomes.	People's choices about their care and support are limited or undermined by pressure on resources.
Where there are identified changes to their support needs, appropriate measures are taken to address these.	Decisions about their care and support arrangements are made for people without appropriate legal powers or without taking into account the principles of relevant legislation.

People benefit from strong links between	Planned reviews may not involve the right
the provider and the health and social care	individuals and as a result people's support
partnership to ensure that current and	needs are not fully met. There may be
future care and support needs are met and	significant delays in responding to people's
planned for.	changing needs.
If the person's support needs change so that the current support service is no longer appropriate, there is a co-ordinated and planned approach to look at suitable alternative support that takes account of their wishes and preferences.	If someone is using a service that doesn't fully meet their needs, there may be a lack of a coordinated and planned approach to look at alternative care and support taking account of their wishes and preferences.

Scrutiny improvement and support toolbox

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people using the service
- communication and interactions.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- staff
- stakeholders and visiting professionals

Sampling of:

- information in assessments, transition plans, personal plans, review notes and action plans
- policy and procedures for accessing other services, including advocacy
- meeting minutes and action plans.

- how people are referred to the service
- the process for assessment of needs, identifying outcomes and development of the personal plan
- how transitions are supported
- the processes are in place to ensure the service continues to meet people's needs.

Quality indicator 1.5: People's health and wellbeing benefits from safe infection prevention and control practice and procedure

Key areas include the extent to which people:

- leadership and staffing arrangements ensure all necessary systems and resources are in place to prevent the spread of infection
- during outbreaks of infectious diseases, people's health and wellbeing needs continue to be met and their rights are protected.

Quality illustrations	
Very good	Weak
People are safe and protected because leaders are proactive in ensuring that systems and resources are in place to support effective infection prevention and control and are responsive to potential and actual outbreaks of infection.	Leaders in the service do not have systems in place to co-ordinate and communicate a clear plan for how the service should respond to an outbreak of infectious disease for staff, people using the service, their families and carers.
People are confident that staff have the necessary training, skills and competence to prevent the spread of infection, provide advice and keep people safe and supported particularly during an outbreak of infectious disease. This includes agency, bank and temporary staff. Staff reliably and consistently implement standard infection control precautions (SICPs) to reduce the risk of spread of infection. This includes between different areas within the service and any community settings, where shared transport is used, and the safe management of any laundry and care waste.	Infection prevention and control (IPC) protocols for the use of sessional, agency or bank staff, are not in place or not sufficient to help prevent transmission of infectious diseases. The service does not have a staffing contingency plan in the event that staff are absent as a result of widespread illness, self-isolation or exclusion, for example following a positive Covid-19 test.

To ensure good governance and robust monitoring, leaders carry out regular observations and audits as part of their overall infection prevention and control (IPC) quality assurance arrangements. This includes regular observations and audits of staff practice and environmental hygiene.

When working together, staff support each other to ensure that everyone reliably and consistently implements good IPC practice.

Compliance monitoring includes IPC incident reporting and analysis, and actions taken focuses on improvement to ensure safe practices and review.

Leaders in the service understand the potential challenges presented by outbreaks of infectious diseases and plan for the likely disruption to all aspects of the service.

Leaders are proactive in developing contingency plans to ensure the continuation of any essential support in the event of an outbreak. Where this may require significant changes to the level of support provided, this is discussed and planned for in partnership with those who use the service, people important to them and health and social care partnerships (HSCPs). Staff working in the service are not familiar with, or do not follow, the principles of infection prevention and control including standard infection control precautions (SICPs) and other up to date guidance about infection prevention and control published by Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections Scotland (ARHAI), Public Health Scotland (PHS) and the Scottish Government. There is limited access to good practice guidance or opportunity for further discussions to ensure that knowledge is consolidated and embedded into practice.

People are not protected from the spread of infection because cleaning schedules, systems and resources are not in place to adopt the principles of IPC contained in the National Infection Prevention and Control Manual (NIPCM). This may be because there are not enough staff, resources, or because staff do not have the appropriate training, guidance and support from leaders.

Staff show limited understanding of when and how they should use personal protective equipment (PPE) and do not recognise other infection prevention and control precautions, including handwashing, the use of alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR) and physical distancing. This is because training has been insufficient to enable staff to feel confident about the correct infection prevention and control measures.

Staff recognise the potential impact	Leaders do not ensure there is a nominated
transmission-based precautions may have	lead with responsibility for IPC and
on communication and relationships.	appropriate actions are not taken in
For example, if face masks or visors	response to an incident or outbreak or
are required, they adjust how they	follow up on actions identified.
communicate and take sensitive steps to	Staff do not have ready access to the
minimise any negative impact.	appropriate equipment and resources
Staffing arrangements are determined by	including PPE, due to poor planning,
a process of continuous assessment that	provision or storage of supplies.
includes consideration of; changes to the	Staff are not able to recognise and respond
number of people being supported; where	effectively to suspected or confirmed cases
activities can take place; individual needs	of infectious diseases, including following
or additional support to maintain good	local reporting procedures and contacting
hygiene and infection control practices.	local health protection teams.
There are clear signs directing people to	People's human rights are compromised
handwashing facilities, and reminders of	because there is a risk-averse approach
the recommended technique, that reflect	to restrictions in place to prevent the
the needs of people using the service, for	spread of infection. The restrictions are
example accessible pictorial or written	not reasonable, justifiable, or in line with
cues.	current good practice and guidance.
Staff are proactive in recognising and responding to challenges people may have in adhering to transmission based precautions (TBPs). For example, wearing a face covering, the need for enhanced cleaning or compliance with hand and respiratory hygiene. This includes individual approaches to support those with reduced capacity, dementia, sensory loss and physical and learning disabilities. Staff understand the importance of social connections and where possible they actively support people to maintain relationships with those important to them, helping to reduce the impact of social isolation.	Any protective measures which the service may introduce as part of its response to an outbreak of an infectious disease are not documented, linked to risk or implemented without any involvement or consent of relevant individuals, including family, carers or representatives. Any protective measures are not regularly reviewed or in place for longer than necessary. Families and others who are important to people are not kept up to date about the impact of any outbreaks of infectious diseases in the service.

People are supported to be emotionally resilient because staff acknowledge the potential impact of changes in people's environment, routines, and changes to or closure of other supports and services. They use imaginative and innovative methods to minimise this and ensure people remain active and engaged, promoting their wellbeing. Staff lack understanding about the potential for atypical presentation of common transmissible infections, for example Covid-19, particularly in people who have complex health needs, are older or frail, and they do not escalate concerns, seeking clinical advice as necessary.

Sufficient attention is not paid to the difficulties people may have in recognising when and how they should follow infection prevention and control guidance and social distancing guidance. This may lead to people not receiving the support they require and putting themselves and others at risk.

Scrutiny improvement and support toolbox

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

* Where the service is premises-based

Observation of:

- experiences of people using the service
- staff practices (consistently implement SICPs)
- communication and interactions
- the environment, single-use and shared equipment
- availability of PPE at key points, including alcohol-based hand rub
- availability of appropriate cleaning materials.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- staff
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- visiting professionals / stakeholders.

Sampling of:

- *cleaning schedules vs outcomes, for example is the environment clean but not clinical?
- policies and procedures reflect good practice and the National Infection Prevention and Control manual (NIPCM)
- risk assessments if transmission based precautions (TBPs) are not adopted for any reason
- training records
- audit information.

Scrutiny improvement and support toolbox continued

- Where it is a setting with shared communal areas such as kitchens, activity rooms and bathrooms, how is the spread of infection minimised?
- Where there are shared resources/equipment such as sensory rooms, computers/iPads or pools, how is the spread of infection minimised?
- Availability of IPC guidance and good practice documents. How do staff get updated on changes to practice? How is staffs understanding of guidance supported?
- How do leaders ensure staff practice is in line with IPC guidance?
- Are the audits and monitoring information used to improve care?

Key question 2: How good is our leadership?

This key question has four quality indicators associated with it.

They are:

- 2.1. Vision and values positively inform practice
- 2.2. Quality assurance and improvement is led well
- 2.3. Leaders collaborate to support people
- 2.4. Staff are led well

Quality indicator 2.1: Vision and values inform practice

Key areas include the extent to which:

- vision, values, aims and objectives are clear and inform practice
- innovation is supported
- leaders lead by example and role model positive behaviour.

Quality illustrations	
There is a clear vision that is inspiring and promotes equality and inclusion for all. Leaders are aspirational, actively seeking to achieve the best possible outcome for people and this is shaped by people's views and needs. The aims and objectives of the service inform the care and support and how people experience this.	The vision is unclear; it lacks clarity, collective ownership and does not focus sufficiently on improving outcomes. There is no, or limited, evidence that equality and inclusion are embedded either within policies, procedures and plans or from observing staff practice. Staff's awareness or knowledge of the vision, values and aims are minimal and do not inform practice.
The culture encourages creative contributions from staff and people using the service. Staff are empowered to innovate and provide person-led care and support, fostering a culture of positive risk-taking. Learning from this is shared, including when things go wrong. In the spirit of genuine partnership, all relevant plans, policies and procedures reflect a supportive and inclusive approach. Leaders and staff recognise the importance of an individual's human rights and choices, and embrace the vision, values and aims to support these being met.	Where improvements are needed, there is limited innovative thinking and staff do not feel confident in contributing to or implementing improvement. Staff may not think creatively about how to change practice in order to support people to meet their outcomes and they may be unable or unwilling to tailor care and support for individuals.

Collective leadership is evident, with capacity for leadership being built at all levels. Leaders ensure that the culture is supportive, inclusive and respectful and they confidently steer the service through challenges where necessary. Leaders are visible role models as they guide the strategic direction and the pace of change. People using the service, their relatives and staff do not have confidence in leaders.

Leaders are not visible role models, and not well known to staff or people who use the service and their relatives. Their leadership may lack energy, visibility and effectiveness.

Scrutiny improvement and support toolbox

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people using the service
- staff practices
- communication and interactions.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- staff
- relatives, friends and carers of people living in the service
- visiting professionals.

Sampling of:

- policies and procedures
- meeting minutes and action plans.

- what the leadership culture is like.
- is there a strong vision with clear aims and objectives?
- how collective leadership is encouraged with staff at all levels.
- the services aims and objectives and how these inform practice.

Quality indicator 2.2: Quality assurance and improvement is led well

- quality assurance, including self-evaluation and improvement plans, drive change and improvement where necessary
- leaders are responsive to feedback and use learning to improve
- leaders have the skills and capacity to oversee improvement.

Quality illustrations	
Very good	Weak
experiences to ensure that, as far as possible, people who are using the service are provided with the right care and support in the right place to meet their outcomes. People are well informed about any changes implemented, and their views have been heard and taken into account. Leaders empower others to become involved in comprehensive quality assurance systems and activities, including self-evaluation, promoting responsibility and accountability. This leads to the development of an ongoing improvement plan that details the future direction of the service. This is well managed, with	There are some systems in place to monitor aspects of service delivery however, there is confusion and a lack of clarity regarding roles and responsibilities. Quality assurance processes, including self-evaluation and improvement plans, are largely ineffective. The approaches taken are not sufficiently detailed to demonstrate the impact of any planned improvement. There is little effective evaluation of people's experiences to ensure that they are supported to meet their outcomes. The lack of individualised support and limited aspirations to help people get the most out of life have a detrimental effect on people's overall wellbeing.

People are confident giving feedback and raising any concerns because they know leaders will act quickly and use the information to help improve the service. Where things go wrong with a person's care or support or their human rights are not respected, leaders offer a meaningful apology and learn from mistakes. Leaders understand how the Duty of Candour will impact on their care and support. Leaders use learning from complaints to improve the quality of care and support. People are supported to understand the standards they should expect from their care and support and are encouraged to be involved in evaluating the quality of the service provided.	Leaders do not use success as a catalyst to implement further improvements. They may fail to motivate staff and others to participate in robust quality assurance processes and systems. The lack of information regarding the rationale and need for improvement may inhibit change. Changes may happen as the result of crisis management rather than through effective quality assurance and self-evaluation. People are either unclear how to raise concerns or make a complaint, or do not feel supported to do so. Complaints and concerns may not drive meaningful change when they could or should. Where things do go wrong, leaders may be defensive and unwilling to learn from mistakes.
Leaders demonstrate a clear understanding about what needs to improve and what should remain, and they ensure that the outcomes and wishes of people who are using the service are the primary drivers for change. Leaders at all levels have a clear understanding of their role in directing and supporting improvement activities, and where to obtain support and guidance. The pace of change reflects the improvements needed.	There is insufficient capacity and skill to support improvement activities effectively and to embed changes in practice. The pace of change may be too slow because leaders focus on responding to day-to-day issues.

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people using the service
- staff practices
- communication and interactions.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- staff
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- visiting professionals.

Sampling of:

- policies and procedures
- minutes of meetings and action plans for people, staff and relatives
- complaint and concerns records, audits and outcomes
- accident/incident records, audits and outcomes
- manager's overview of training, supervision, SSSC registration.

- quality assurance and oversight of relevant policies, procedures, records and outcomes, for example, medication, support plans, the environment
- how the improvement plans are developed, updated and shared
- how the service gathers feedback and action taken, including how this is built into induction and supervision
- analysis/evaluations from participation methods/activities.

Quality indicator 2.3: Leaders collaborate to support people

- leaders understand the key roles of other partners and their responsibilities
- services work in partnership with others to secure the best outcomes for people
- leaders oversee effective transitions for people.

Quality illustrations	
Very good	Weak
Leaders overcome barriers to enable people to gain real control over their care and support. A culture of joint responsibility and decision-making helps to create a positive climate. This takes into account each person's whole life including their physical, mental, cultural, emotional and spiritual needs. Because leaders have a sound knowledge of the key roles and responsibilities of partner agencies, they quickly identify when to involve them. Partner or multi- agency working is supported by a clear strategy to facilitate working together so that people get the right support from the right organisation when they need it. Leaders are confident in working across boundaries to support people and ensure they experience high quality care and support. Leaders recognise the benefits of sharing ideas and practice, not just within the service, but further afield to.	Leaders do not ensure that care and support is provided in collaboration with people, their families and the wider community. There is a lack of understanding of the roles that others from external organisations have that may benefit or provide additional support for people. There is a lack of a clear strategy and guidance to inform a collaborative approach. Leaders are not able, knowledgeable or confident at accessing local pathways for people. They may not work effectively with other organisations or know how to obtain specialist support when needed.

Where people are supported by more than one organisation, they benefit from organisations working together, sharing information promptly and appropriately, and working to coordinate care and support so that people experience consistency and continuity. Where information is being shared between agencies for specific purposes, consent is sought first (except where there is a serious risk of harm).	Leaders may not be confident at learning from other organisations to improve the services they provide, or be willing to work with them. There is a lack of clarity about when to contact other organisations to help support outcomes for people. Information about people is not shared when it is appropriate to do so and will lead to improvements in people's care and support. Where information is shared, consent may not have been obtained from the person or their representative.
Leaders ensure that the processes for starting to use the service are person-centred. Leaders ensure that commissioned services are delivered efficiently and effectively. They will monitor the success and effectiveness of working with partner providers and other agencies. When people are moving on from the service, leaders contribute to the clear processes that support the person with this.	Silo working may impact negatively on people's experiences of health and social care in the service. Leaders have not put in place clear systems or processes that support people to start using the service or to move on to make use of other care and support.

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people using the service
- staff practices
- communication and interactions.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- staff
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- visiting professionals and stakeholders.

Sampling of:

- policies and procedures
- information sharing policy and practice
- initial assessments and experience of people
- feedback from people who use the service and how this is used.

- arrangements for multi-agency working and how these benefit people
- links the service has to local resources and how these are used and accessed.

Quality indicator 2.4: Staff are led well

- leaders at all levels make effective decisions about staff and resources
- leaders at all levels empower staff to support people
- leadership is having a positive impact on staff.

Very goodWeakLeaders engage meaningfully with staff,Leaders lack the skills and knowledge	
 people who are using the service, their families and the wider community, taking a collaborative approach to planning and delivering care and support. This means leaders are skilled at identifying and delivering the appropriate type and level of resources needed to provide high-quality care and support now and in the future. They intervene at the earliest opportunity to ensure that people experience high-quality care and support. Where relevant, registered nurses are empowered to play a key role in leading nursing care, including working with other staff and supporting all staff in delivering high-quality care. This results in robust systems of care with clear lines of responsibility and professional accountability including clinical governance. 	ces ntal ers ean nave to rt

Leaders model a team approach by acknowledging, encouraging and appreciating efforts, contributions and expertise, while instilling a 'safe-to- challenge' culture. They listen to others and respect different perspectives. They recognise that people are often best placed to identify their own outcomes and encourage staff to support this approach. Leaders recognise the importance of sharing ideas in a relaxed and supportive environment and work hard to tackle inequalities, encouraging equality of opportunity both among the staff and people living in the service. They use successes to act as a catalyst to implement further improvements in the quality and outcomes for individuals.	Staff are not empowered to help identify solutions for the benefit of people who are using the service. Communication and direction is lacking and the approach to improvement is not sufficiently detailed. The rationale for change is not always clear to staff, impacting negatively on people's experiences. Leaders may fail to engage or energise staff leading to confusion and a lack of clarity of roles and responsibilities. Equality and inclusion are not embedded within policies, procedures and plans. There is a lack of understanding that staff at all levels have an important role to play in delivering high-quality care and support.
Leaders adapt their leadership style to help motivate staff to deliver high-quality care and support. A good work-life balance is encouraged at all times, which impacts positively on staff and people who are using the service.	Opportunities to use initiative, take responsibility and influence change are limited. Staff seldom adopt leadership roles. There is no, or limited, evidence that professional learning is linked to organisational priorities. Silo working exists and little attempt is made to address this.

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people using the service
- staff practices
- communication and interactions.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- staff, including manager
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- visiting professionals and stakeholders.

Sampling of:

- policies and procedures
- minutes of staff and team meetings
- staff training records, appraisals, supervision and deployment
- quality assurance policy, procedure, practice and outcomes.

- the improvement plan
- feedback about leadership and support for staff.

Key question 3: How good is our staff team?

This key question has three quality indicators associated with it.

They are:

- 3.1. Staff have been recruited well
- 3.2. Staff have the right knowledge, competence and development to care for and support people
- 3.3. Staffing arrangements are right and staff work well together

Quality indicator 3.1: Staff have been recruited well

- people benefit from safer recruitment principles being used
- recruitment and induction reflects outcomes for people experiencing care
- induction is tailored to the training needs of the individual staff member and role.

Quality illustrations		
Very good	Weak	
Staff are recruited in a way that has been informed by all aspects of safer recruitment guidance, including a strong emphasis on values-based recruitment. The process is well organised and documented so that core elements of the procedure are followed consistently. People using the service have opportunities and the necessary support to be involved in the process in a meaningful way that takes their views into account, including in recruitment decisions. Staff do not start work until all pre- employment checks have been concluded and relevant mandatory training has been completed. There is a clear link between the needs of people and the skills and experience of the staff being recruited. A range of supports is in place to encourage staff retention.	There is insufficient attention paid to understanding why safer recruitment is important. Key elements of processes may be ignored, for example exploring gaps in employment records or checking that references come from a previous employer. Even where good recruitment policies are written, they may not be thoroughly implemented consistently, for example only one reference is obtained and staff start to work alone before their membership of the Protection of Vulnerable Groups scheme has been confirmed. The service may not fully understand the skill set and experience it needs to provide high-quality care and support for the people who are using the service.	

The induction is thorough and has been developed to enable staff to support the outcomes of people in the particular setting. This includes an emphasis on implementing the Health and Social Care Standards as underpinning values for all care and support. There is a clear plan as to what is included and how this will be delivered with sufficient time to ensure that staff can understand all the information and what is expected of them. During the induction period, feedback is sought from people using the service to help evaluate staff members' values, communication and development needs.	The values and motivation of potential staff may not have been explored as part of the recruitment process, and may not inform recruitment decisions. Staff start work before they have sufficient knowledge and skills. They may receive no induction, it may be brief and patchy or there may be too much covered too quickly for it to be effective. New staff may only have the opportunity for a minimum period of shadowing and there is limited structure for additional discussions about their learning needs, either through supervision or a mentor.
Throughout the recruitment process, individual learning needs and styles are taken into account. There is likely to be a range of learning styles, for example the opportunity for face-to-face discussion and shadowing of more experienced staff.	The induction may be generic, have not been reviewed recently, or may not include effective input about the Health and Social Care Standards.
Staff are clear about their roles and responsibilities, with written information they can refer to and a named member of staff for support. Staff are clear about their conditions of employment and the arrangements for ongoing supervision and appraisal. There is additional supervision in the first few months to discuss any learning needs or issues.	

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people using the service
- staff practices
- communication and interactions.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- staff, including manager
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- visiting professionals and stakeholders.

Sampling of:

- recruitment policy and procedures, minutes of staff and team meetings
- staff job descriptions and roles quality assurance policy, procedure, practice and outcomes
- the induction policy, procedure and practice
- relevant HR or personnel files/staff recruitment and induction files
- how fitness checks are undertaken and if they are in line with best practice guidance
- interview records.

- the analysis of staff skills required to meet the outcomes of those using the service
- staff recruitment is safe and in line with current best practice guidance
- how induction is tailored to individuals
- how people using the service, or where appropriate, relatives, and carers can be involved in the recruitment process.

Quality indicator 3.2: Staff have the right knowledge, competence and development to care for and support people

- staff competence and practice supports improving outcomes for people
- staff development supports improving outcomes for people
- staff practice is supported and improved through effective supervision and appraisal.

Quality illustrations	
Very good	Weak
Staff competence is regularly assessed to ensure that learning and development supports better outcomes for people. This means that people are being supported by staff who understand and are sensitive to their needs and wishes because a range of learning and support measures is in place.	Arrangements for assessing ongoing competence are sporadic, with little encouragement for reflection on how learning needs will be met or how this might improve practice and outcomes for people. Staff may be registered with relevant
There is a clear structure of learning for each role within the service. This includes values, the Health and Social Care Standards and any applicable codes of practice and conduct, as well as specific areas of practice.	professional bodies but do not fully understand their responsibilities for continuous professional development or how they can fulfil this. They may lack confidence or support in taking responsibility for their own learning and development.

Learning opportunities are developed to support meeting outcomes for people who are using the service based on evidence and best practice guidance. This is regularly analysed, with new training planned as people's needs change. People who use the service are involved in staff development and learning, if this is what they want. There is a range of approaches to suit different learning styles and it is evident that all staff have access to training and have their own learning plan that identifies development needs and how these will be met. Staff are confident about where to find best practice guidance and advice on how they can support people. There is a learning culture embedded within the service, which includes reflective practice. Staff are comfortable acknowledging their learning needs, challenging poor practice and they are confident these will be addressed.	Training is basic and restricted to set topics, often with little mention of values and codes and their importance to inform good care and support. The plan for training is static and may not reflect the needs of people who are using the service. Training is regarded as an event rather than ongoing learning. There is little access to best practice guidance or opportunity for further discussions to ensure knowledge is consolidated and embedded into practice. There is no effective training analysis for the service or individual staff. The training plan and records are incomplete or held in a format that does not allow the identification of priorities.
Regular supervision and appraisal are used constructively and staff value them. There are clear records of learning being planned and undertaken that inform what is provided for each member of staff. Staff are aware of their responsibilities for continuous professional development to meet any registration requirements, they have support to achieve this and they keep a record. The views of people who are supported by staff are used to give staff feedback and are included in supervision and appraisal.	Supervision may not take place or is so limited that there is no opportunity to reflect on skills, knowledge and learning. Staff may also consider that if they have completed all the training, they have no other learning needs. Where learning needs are identified, the systems for ensuring that these are met are insufficiently robust, resulting in gaps in knowledge remaining unfilled.

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people using the service
- staff practices
- communication and interactions.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- staff
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- visiting professionals and stakeholders.

Sampling of:

- mandatory training records for different grades of staff
- staff supervision and appraisal records
- staffs training and development plan and outcome, including any training needs analysis.

- how an overview is maintained of staff's professional registration status and requirements
- how staff wellbeing is supported
- whether training provided reflects the needs and outcomes of people using the service
- how competency issues are managed
- how feedback from stakeholders is used to support staff development.

Quality indicator 3.3: Staffing arrangements are right and staff work well together

- the skill mix, numbers and deployment of staff meet the needs of people
- there is an effective process for assessing how many staff hours are needed
- staff are flexible and support each other to work as a team to benefit people.

Quality illustrations	
Very good	Weak
Because the staff in the service understand the needs of the people using it, the right number of staff with the right skills are working at all times to support people's outcomes. Staff have time to provide care and support with compassion and engage in meaningful conversations and interactions with people. Staff are clear about their roles and are deployed effectively. Staff help each other by being flexible in response to changing situations to ensure care and support is consistent and stable. People can have a say in who provides their care and support. People can have confidence in their support because any redeployed, temporary or new staff have ready access to the right information about the service and the individual's specific needs and outcomes.	The numbers of staff are minimal and sometimes insufficient to meet fully the needs of people using the service. Staff work under pressure and some aspects of care and support may be skipped or missed, affecting outcomes for people. People using or visiting the service perceive staff to be rushed. When matching staff to work with individuals using the service, limited importance is placed on staff skills, experience and personality to help people build successful relationships and work well together.

The numbers and skill mix of staff are determined by a process of continuous assessment, featuring a range of measures and linked to quality assurance. This includes taking account of the acuity and complexity of people's needs, circumstances and outcomes.

Feedback from all parties contributes to this and any dependency assessment takes account of the premises layout, where applicable. This includes how best to deploy staff to support keyworking and high-quality support with good continuity of care.

Robust methods to assess staffing are informed by the latest guidance and research which utilise available tools, data and professional judgement. This assessment includes the needs of people, as well as their views and that of their families and carers. Staffing arrangements are relatively static with infrequent reviews and not adjusted to meet people's changing needs. Current staffing levels and vacancies are not considered.

There may be a dependency assessment but this is not translated into staff hours and no other measures or feedback are used to determine what staff time is required.

Methods to assess staffing are limited and do not take a structured approach or consider the wellbeing of staff. Approaches are not informed by the latest guidance and the views of staff, people, their families and carers are not considered.

There may be an over-reliance on agency or short term/temporary staff, which leads to people experiencing a lack of consistency and stability in how their care and support is provided, and limits their ability to build a trusting relationship with staff members. People using the service and staff benefit from a warm atmosphere because there are good working relationships. There is effective communication between staff, with opportunities for discussion about their work and how best to improve outcomes for people.

Motivated staff, effective deployment and good team working mean that staff spend as much time as possible with people. Staff are confident in building positive interactions and relationships.

Staff who are not involved in providing direct care and support to people understand their contribution to the overall quality of the service and know they play an important role in building a staff team. There are no protocols in place to support the use of agency, sessional or bank staff.

The pressure on staff leads them to stick to their designated tasks because there is no capacity to respond to other demands. Despite staff members' best efforts, care and support is basic with little time for speaking with people or supporting them to maintain interests.

Communication and team building may suffer due to lack of time, and this affects staff wellbeing and motivation. Important information is not shared or passed on accurately leading to a negative impact on people.

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people using the service
- staff practices
- communication and interactions.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- staff
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- visiting professionals and stakeholders.

Sampling of:

- staff rota and deployment
- risk assessment/plans/polices for lone working where appropriate
- tools for assessing staffing.

- staff roles and duties
- how information in personal plans informs staffing
- how the manager assesses and monitors staffing levels and skill mix, and when adjustments are made
- staff rota and deployment, is it meeting people's needs, how do you know?
- the use of agency or sessional staff and how this is managed.

Key question 4: How good is our setting?

This key question has two quality indicators associated with it.

They are:

- 4.1. People benefit from high-quality facilities, (where the service is buildingsbased)
- 4.2. The setting promotes people's independence and inclusion.

Quality indicator 4.1: People benefit from high-quality facilities

- the layout of the setting supports people's outcomes
- people can influence how the setting is used
- the setting is comfortable, safe and well maintained.

Quality illustrations	
Very good	Weak
The setting has been designed or adapted	The layout or how the setting is organised
for high-quality care and support and	may compromise people's ability to use the
reflects the intended function and purpose	setting for its intended purpose. The setting
of the service.	does not offer enough space or different
People can choose to use quiet or	places where people can spend their time.
communal areas, and have opportunities	There may be few opportunities for people
for privacy when they want. The building is	to experience a quiet environment when
right for the number of people using it.	they need to.
People benefit from an environment	Spaces are not used for their designated
with plenty of natural light, fresh air and	purpose due to poor planning or poor
sufficient space to meet their needs and	housekeeping.
wishes. The environment is well suited to	There is limited flexible space, which
how people will spend their time there	means that people lack the opportunity to
and gives them the message that they are	develop friendships.
valued.	Staff do not identify changing needs for
The design of the setting contributes to	equipment or facilities. People do not have
people developing relationships, with	the equipment that best meets their needs
space to spend time in small groups as	or the equipment is not available when
well as larger functions.	needed.
People are involved in decisions about	There is a bureaucratic or risk-averse
the layout of the setting where possible,	approach to the way the setting is
and can influence how the space is	designed, managed or furnished, reducing
used. People feel they are listened to and	people's ability to contribute to decision-
thattheir views count.	making about the setting.

The environment is clean, tidy and well looked after.	The environment may not be clean and there may be a lack of attention to standards of decoration and the quality of furniture, which may be deteriorating or z\ shabby.
People are confident that risk assessments of community activities reflect their outcomes and ensure that facilities are safe and suitable for them to use.	Risk-averse practice creates an imbalance between maintaining safety and security and supporting people's freedom and independence.
There are arrangements in operation for maintenance of the premises and the equipment to ensure people are safe.	Systems for the ongoing maintenance of the environment and equipment are either not organised or not followed, which may place people at risk. Staff are not clear about their responsibilities to report any issues with environmental cleanliness or maintenance to the person in charge. Some equipment may not be fully functioning or may break down regularly.
The building promotes people's safety.	
Robust infection control measures are in place and food safety is promoted in settings where food is prepared or provided.	

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people in the service
- staff practices
- the physical environment.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- staff, including any maintenance or domestic/kitchen staff
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- visiting professionals.

Sampling of:

- maintenance records
- cleaning schedules
- training records for use of equipment, where appropriate.

- how the environment meets the needs of the people using it
- how maintenance issues are raised and actioned
- how people give feedback on the environment
- who takes responsibility for health and safety and how is this shared with staff?

Quality indicator 4.2: The setting promotes people's independence and inclusion

- the setting promotes people's independence
- people are included in their communities
- the setting enables people to thrive and develop.

Quality illustrations		
Very good	Weak	
The culture of the service is welcoming to visitors and everyone who uses the service. The setting supports a focus on people's abilities and assets. All aspects of the setting promote independence, with flexible facilities, control of lighting, heating, ventilation and security. This promotes comfort and encourages people to be active and move around as much as possible. They can independently access all parts of the premises they use. People benefit from a setting that is right for them. They have the equipment that best meets their needs and is provided when required.	The service lacks, or has limited ways of supporting, the inclusion of parents, relatives and friends in its development. The setting limits independence and this affects people by restricting their movement or by increasing their dependence on staff. This may also curtail people's choices about where they spend their time. Internal facilities and fittings may also restrict people's choices and comfort in their daily life, such as unsuitable equipment. This may include communication technology, reassessing how space is used or items to help people with new experiences or interests.	
The service's setting and location supports people to be active members of their community, reducing the risk of isolation. People are supported to keep connected	The service is isolated, or the culture in the service is insular, with limited links to the local community. Visitors might feel unwelcome.	
to their communities. Staff use their knowledge of the local community landscape to signpost and support people's involvement. People are empowered to make their communities more inclusive.	The location of the setting, or transport links, may enable access to the local community and amenities however people are not routinely supported where appropriate to access these.	

There are strong links with the local	People may be less able to benefit from a
community that encourage the growth of	wide range of relationships or membership
informal support networks. People benefit	of groups and networks.
from this in a variety of ways including:	Barriers to using community resources
meeting new people, cross-generational	may not be identified or addressed. The
relationships, links that support individual	importance of people's visibility in and
interests, and introducing different ideas	contribution to their community is not
and experiences.	understood.
The setting's design enables people to get the most out of life, including promoting and enhancing positive relationships with their peers.	There is limited flexible space for promoting peer relationships or a sense of community.

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people in the service
- staff practices
- the physical environment.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- staff, including maintenance and any domestic /kitchen staff
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- visiting professionals

Sampling of:

- information on local resources for use by people
- risk assessments for the environment
- information in personal plans
- meeting notes and action records from people, staff and relatives

- links and access to the community
- how staff support people to keep in touch with important people to them
- how visitors to the service are welcomed?

Key question 5: How well is our care and support planned?

This key question has two quality indicators associated with it.

They are:

- 5.1. Assessment and personal planning reflects people's outcomes and wishes
- 5.2. Carers, friends and family members are encouraged to be involved

Quality indicator 5.1: Assessment and care planning reflects peoples' outcomes and wishes

- leaders and staff use care and support plans to deliver care and support effectively
- care plans are reviewed and updated regularly, and as people's outcomes change
- people are involved in directing and leading their own care and support.

Quality illustrations		
Very good	Weak	
People benefit from dynamic, innovative and aspirational care and support planning that consistently informs all aspects of the care and support they experience. People and, where relevant, their families, are fully involved in developing their personal plans. Strong leadership, staff competence, meaningful involvement and embedded quality assurance and improvement processes support this happening.	Personal plans are basic or static documents and are not routinely used to inform staff practice and approaches to care and support. They may be kept in an inaccessible place, or do not reflect the care and support experienced by people who use the service. People may not know whether they have a personal plan, or it may be in a format that is not meaningful to them.	
Care and support planning maximises people's capacity and ability to make choices. This includes the potential for people to reduce the support they receive or change their care setting. Where support services are crisis-based or provide very short-term support to people, safety plans are based on identifying warning signs, immediate risks and how to reduce these to stay safe, including coping strategies and who can help.	The standard of care and support planning is inconsistent and is not supported by strong leadership, staff competence and quality assurance processes. Personal plans focus entirely on people's needs or a deficit-led approach rather than building an enabling approach based on assets or outcomes.	

People benefit from personal plans that are regularly reviewed, evaluated and updated involving relevant professionals (including independent advocacy) and take account of good practice and their own individual preferences and wishes. People are helped to live well right to the end of life by making it clear to others what is important to them and their wishes for the future. There is a range of methods used to ensure that people are able to lead and direct the development and review of their personal plans in a meaningful way.	Multi-disciplinary professional involvement in the care planning and review process may be limited. People may not benefit from professional advice because this is not taken account of in the care planning and review process. Personal plans do not reflect up-to-date good practice guidance. Care reviews may not be carried out in line with legislation. Where people are supported in crisis, staff are unable to respond flexibly when they identify what is and is not working for the person.
Where people are not able fully to express their wishes and preferences, individuals who are important to them or have legal authority are involved in shaping and directing the care and support plans. Advocacy support has been sought where appropriate. Staff understand the planning process and can support people to navigate this, maximising their involvement. Supporting legal documentation is in place to ensure this is being done in a way that protects and upholds people's rights. Risk assessments and safety plans are used to enable people rather than restrict people's actions or activities. People are fully involved in decisions about their current and future care and support needs. Their plans and wishes for their life in the future are also fully taken account of. Where appropriate, this involves the use of anticipatory (advanced) personal plans.	People may not be involved or have limited involvement in their care and support planning and review process and therefore do not consistently experience care and support in line with their wishes and preferences. Where people are not able fully to express their wishes and preferences, relevant individuals important to them are not involved, or have limited involvement, in the care planning and review process. Supporting legal documentation may not be in place. The culture within the service can be defined as risk averse, and directly reduces people's quality of life and experiences as a result of over-protective attitudes and practice. Risk assessments appear punitive or designed to prioritise protecting the organisation rather than keeping people safe. Outcomes and aspirations for individuals may be limited by low expectations of people who are involved in assessing and planning

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people in the service
- staff practices
- communication and interactions.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- staff
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- visiting professionals and stakeholders.

Sampling of:

- review minutes and action records
- personal plans, including risk assessments
- review and action plan minutes.

- how people and those important to them, where appropriate, are supported to be involved in the development and review of their personal plans
- whether the personal plan reflects the care and support being provided or required
- whether the personal plan reflects the outcomes identified in the personal plan.

Quality indicator 5.2: Carers, friends and family members are encouraged to be involved

Key areas include the extent to which:

- carers, friends and family members are encouraged to be involved and work in partnership with the service
- the views of carers and family members are heard and meaningfully considered.

68 A quality framework for support services (not care at home)

The service is led in a way that is strongly influenced by the people who use it, with the opportunity for family members, friends and carers where appropriate to be involved in a variety of ways. The views, choices and wishes of people who use the service, and their family members, inform changes in how care and support is provided, even where that challenges previous approaches.

If the person using the service agrees, family members have the opportunity to be involved in making recruitment decisions in a meaningful way.

The staff working in the service understand the complexities of family relationships and can provide support to people to try to reconnect with friends or family where these relationships have broken down.

Staff understand the value of positive peer support in providing support and improving outcomes for people. People and their families have no or limited opportunity to be involved in making recruitment decisions, or their wishes carry little weight in decision making.

Information about people using the service is shared with their family members, friends or carers without appropriate consent.

Leaders lack knowledge about informed consent.

Leaders don't recognise the value of support provided by individuals who are important to the person using the service.

Key improvement resources

Key improvement resources are available on The Hub here.

Scrutiny and improvement support actions

Observation of:

- experiences of people in the service
- staff practices
- communication and interactions.

Discussions from:

- people using the service
- staff
- relatives, friends and carers of people using the service
- visiting professionals and stakeholders.

Sampling of:

- review minutes and action records
- personal plans, including risk assessments
- review and action plan minutes
- meeting minutes and action plans for people, staff and relatives.
- systems for acting on feedback, including complaints.

Consideration of:

• how people and those important to them are supported to be involved in their care and support, where appropriate.

Headquarters

Care Inspectorate Compass House 11 Riverside Drive Dundee DD1 4NY Tel: 01382 207100 Fax: 01382 207289

Website: www.careinspectorate.com Email: enquiries@careinspectorate.gov.scot Care Inspectorate Enquiries: 0345 600 9527



© Care Inspectorate 2023 | Published by: Communications | COMMS-0323-413 © @careinspect f careinspectorate











